C.F.I.S.

003

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2780 /2005

PETITIONER : Johar Lal Mandavi, Son of Shri Ramnath Mandavi, aged about 41 years, Krishi Vistar Adhikari, Block and Tahsil Durg (C.G.).

P.R.No. 2909/05
Presented on P.P.Sahu
Dated 23/6/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : The Secretary, Agriculture Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Director, Agriculture Department, Directorate, Raipur (C.G.).

3. The Deputy Director, Agriculture Department, Durg (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... 2780/05 ..... **सन् 200**

05

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	06.07.2005 Mr. P.P. Sahu, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Vivek Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on 29.06.1993 (Annexure P-1) as Krishi Vistar Adhikari and his services are regularized on 15.10.2003 (Annexure P-2). His name has been placed at Sl. No.8 in the seniority list. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that all his juniors in the seniority list have been promoted to the post of Varishtha Krishi Vistar Adhikari on 06.10.2003 (Annexure P-4). One Mr. Phool Das Mandavi, who was also junior to the petitioner as Krishi Vistar Adhikari was promoted on 14.01.2005, after considering his representation, with effect from the date his juniors were promoted. It is also submits that the petitioner has also made a representation to the Director, Agricultural Department on 21.03.2005 (Annexure P-8) stating the facts that his juniors have been promoted. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that no order has been passed on the representation filed by the petitioner dated 21.03.2005. The petitioner prays for a direction of this Court to the authorities to consider his representation in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders. The respondents are directed to consider the representation dated 21.03.2005 filed by the petitioner, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of the order. In view of the foregoing, the writ petition stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Sarma

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000